IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR , M. P.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3775 OF 1994

PETITIONER: Rizvan Ul Haque S/o Shri Abdul Bari , aged 33 years , Sarpanch , Gram Antagarh , Tahsil Antagarh , District Bastar , M.P.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS 1 Charan , S/o Phaguwaram , resident of Gram Antagarh , District Bastar , M.P.

2. Shamsher S/o Shri Alam, aged not known

3. Hameed S/o Shri Shaheed , 〃

4. Joharu S/o Lacchan , 〃

5. Shayamsingh S/o Ankal , 〃

6. Ajay S/o Kapoorchand, 〃

7. Parasmal S/o Deepchand, 〃

8. Anand Sharma S/o Phoolchand, 〃

9. Ratanram S/o Ranjeet Singh, 〃

10 Phoolsingh S/o Soansingh, 〃

11 Rajru S/o Mansingh , 〃

12 Dukalu S/o Latel , 〃

13 Rameshwar S/o Shukhdeo , 〃

14. Lakshman S/o Heeralal , 〃

15. Vijay S/o Jaylal , 〃

16 Rajesh S/o Ankalu, 〃

17 Ramjee S/o Pakluram , 〃

18 Deepak S/o Mathura , 〃

19 Mukesh Kumar S/o Rajesh Kumar, 〃

All Nos. 1 to 19 are resident of Gram Antagarh , Tahsil Antagarh, District Bastar.

20 Shri Dhananjay Devangan , S/o not known ,aged about 40 years , Sub Divisional Magistrate, Narain Gunj , now transferred to Bastar, District Bastar ,M.P.

21 The Sub Divisional Officer , Revenue , Narayanpur , District Bastar , M.P.

22 The State of Madhya Pradesh through Collector Bastar , District Bastar , M.P.

OATH COMMISSIONER H.C. JABALPUR Smt. SUMAN SONI

/ 2 /

23 The Block Development Officer cum Returning Officer , Antagarh , District Bastar,M.P.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT OR WRITS IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMJS , CERTIORARI , PROHIBITION AND | OR ANY OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTION .

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 3775 of 1994

Petitioner : Rizvan Ul Haque

Versus

Respondents : Charan and others

None appears for the petitioner.

Shri Arun Sao, Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 21, 22 and 23/State.

ORDER

(29th November, 2006)

1. The matter is called for hearing twice. On both occasions, none appears nor any representation is made on behalf of the petitioner.

2. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur